

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com





Journal of Power Sources 159 (2006) 1405-1408

www.elsevier.com/locate/jpowsour

Short communication

Low temperature synthesis and electrochemical behavior of LiV₃O₈ cathode

A.M. Kannan¹, A. Manthiram*

Materials Science and Engineering Program, The University of Texas at Austin, Austin, TX 78712, United States

Received 23 November 2005; received in revised form 8 December 2005; accepted 12 December 2005 Available online 24 January 2006

Abstract

 LiV_3O_8 cathode has been synthesized by two simple low temperature methods without involving the melting of V_2O_5 and characterized by X-ray diffraction, scanning electron microscopy (SEM), discharge–charge measurements in lithium cells and differential scanning calorimetry (DSC). While the first method involves the heating of the components in H₂ at 500 °C followed by in N₂ at 600 °C, the second method involves the dispersion of the components in methanol followed by evaporating the solvent and heating at 500 °C in N₂ atmosphere. The samples exhibit a high capacity of around 230 mAh g⁻¹ at 0.5 mA cm⁻² and 25 °C in a narrow voltage range of 2–3.5 V with excellent cyclability at -30 to 60 °C. Additionally, the samples exhibit superior safety characteristics compared to the currently used $LiCoO_2$ cathode as indicated by the absence of exothermic peaks (DSC) up to 450 °C in the charged state.

© 2005 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Lithium ion batteries; Cathodes; Chemical synthesis; Electrochemical measurements

1. Introduction

Lithium ion batteries have become attractive for portable electronic devices due to their higher cell voltage and energy density compared to the other rechargeable systems. Lithium ion cells presently use the layered LiCoO₂ cathode, but only 50% of its theoretical capacity could be utilized in practical cells due to chemical and structural instabilities at deep charge with (1-x) < 0.5 in Li_{1-x}CoO₂ [1]. Moreover, Co is expensive and relatively toxic, and charged $Li_{1-x}CoO_2$ poses safety concerns due to the highly oxidized nature of the $Co^{3+/4+}$ couple and an overlap of the $Co^{3+/4+}$:3d band with the top of the $O^{2-}:2p$ band. These difficulties have generated enormous interest in the development of alternative cathode materials. In this regard, layered oxide solid solutions, such as LiNi_{1/3}Mn_{1/3}Co_{1/3}O₂, LiNi_{1/2}Mn_{1/2}O₂ and Li(Li,Ni,Mn,Co)O₂ have become appealing as they lower the cost and offer improved safety characteristics [2-5]. Additionally, cation-substituted spinel manganese oxides [6] and conductive carbon incorporated olivine iron phosphate [7,8] are being intensively pursued.

Vanadium oxides are attractive alternatives as vanadium is known to exist in a wide range of oxidation states from +2 as in VO to +5 as in V₂O₅ and the vanadium oxides have the potential to offer much higher capacities. Among the various known vanadium oxides, VO₂(B), V₆O₁₃, V₂O_{5- δ}, V₂O₅ and LiV₃O₈ have been found to show interesting cathode properties [9–22] although they could not be combined with the presently used carbon anodes in lithium ion cells as they do not contain extractable lithium. Among these oxides, LiV₃O₈ has a layered structure and has been investigated as a cathode material for nearly 20 years, after the first investigation by Panero et al. [18]. The synthesis generally involves a melting of one of the components (V₂O₅) by heating to 680 °C [18]. In general, the synthesis method has been found to strongly influence the electrochemical performance of LiV₃O₈.

Liu et al. [17] have reported the synthesis of LiV₃O₈ by heating at various temperatures (370, 450 and 550 °C) a precursor obtained with LiOH and V₂O₅ in NH₄OH, but the material has been found to exhibit severe capacity fade. For example, the capacity value of the 370 °C heated sample decreases from 274 to 257 mAh g⁻¹ within 15 discharge–charge cycles in the

^{*} Corresponding author. Tel.: +1 512 471 1791; fax: +1 512 471 7681. *E-mail address:* rmanth@mail.utexas.edu (A. Manthiram).

¹ Present address: Department of Electronic Systems, Arizona State University at the Polytechnic Campus, Mesa, AZ 85212, United States.

^{0378-7753/\$ -} see front matter © 2005 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.jpowsour.2005.12.026

voltage range of 1.8–4 V at a current density of 0.3 mA cm⁻². The 450 and 550 °C samples with a lower initial capacity values also exhibit similar capacity fade within 15 cycles (264 to 245 and 192 to 175 mAh g⁻¹ for the 450 and 550 °C samples, respectively). More recently, Xu et al. [19] have reported fairly good cyclability, but the synthesis involves a complicated hydrothermal route, followed by heat-treatment at different temperatures in the range of 300–600 °C. In another recent report [22], LiV₃O₈ prepared by a mechanochemical route followed by heat-treatment at 200–400 °C has been found to exhibit a high capacity of >300 mAh g⁻¹, but with a continuously sloping discharge voltage profile.

We present here the synthesis of LiV_3O_8 by two simple low temperature (500 or 600 °C) methods without involving the typical melting of V₂O₅, their characterization and electrochemical behavior in lithium cells. The samples exhibit excellent cyclability in a wide temperature range with a reversible capacity of around 230 mAh g⁻¹ and a nearly flat voltage profile in a narrow voltage range of 2–3.5 V at a high current density of 0.5 mA cm^{-2} .

2. Experimental

LiV₃O₈ was synthesized by two different methods. In the first method, a stoichiometric mixture of LiOH·H₂O and V₂O₅ was heated in hydrogen atmosphere at 500 °C for 12 h followed by in nitrogen atmosphere at 600 °C for another 12 h. This method is hereafter referred to as solid state method. In the second method, stoichiometric quantities of ammonium metavanadate and lithium hydroxide were dispersed in methanol by stirring for 3 h, the solvent was evaporated on a hot plate, and the homogenized dry mass was then heated at 500 °C in nitrogen atmosphere for 6 h. This method is hereafter referred to as solution dispersion method.

Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) of the precursors and the synthesized LiV₃O₈ was carried out with a Perkin-Elmer Series 7 thermal system in nitrogen atmosphere with a heating rate of $2 \,^{\circ}$ C min⁻¹. The synthesized samples were characterized by Xray diffraction. The cathodes for lithium cells were fabricated by mixing the LiV₃O₈ powder, Denka black carbon, and polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE) binder in a weight ratio of 75:20:5. The electrochemical cells (CR2032 coin cells) were assembled with the cathodes thus fabricated, metallic lithium anode, polyethylene separator and 1 M LiPF₆ in 1:1 ethylene carbonate (EC) and diethyl carbonate (DEC) electrolyte. Cells for low temperature study were fabricated with LiPF₆ in 3:5:4:1 EC, DEC, dimethyl carbonate (DMC) and ethyl methyl carbonate (EMC) electrolyte. The electrochemical performances were evaluated by cycling the coin cells between 3.5 and 2.0 V in the temperature range of -30 to 60 °C at a current density of 0.5 mA cm⁻² unless otherwise mentioned. The safety characteristics of the LiV₃O₈ cathodes were assessed by opening the cycled coin cells in the charged (delithiated) state, washing the cathodes with propylene carbonate to remove the LiPF₆ salt, hermetically sealing by crimping in an aluminum cup, and examining by a Perkin-Elmer series 7 differential scanning calorimeter (DSC) in a flowing



Fig. 1. TGA plots of the (a) precursor obtained by dispersing ammonium metavanadate and lithium hydroxide in methanol and (b) after heating the precursor in nitrogen atmosphere at 500 °C. The plots were recorded in N₂ atmosphere with a heating rate of $2 \,^{\circ}$ C min⁻¹.

nitrogen atmosphere at a heating rate of $10 \,^{\circ}\text{C} \,\text{min}^{-1}$ between 50 and 450 $^{\circ}\text{C}$.

3. Results and discussion

Fig. 1 shows the TGA plots of the precursor prepared with the solution dispersion method and the corresponding heat-treated (500 °C) LiV₃O₈ sample. While the precursor sample shows a weight loss of about 5% around 200 °C, the heat-treated LiV₃O₈ sample exhibits <0.5% weight loss, indicating the completeness of the decomposition of the precursor during the heat-treatment at 500 °C. Fig. 2 shows the SEM photograph of the LiV₃O₈ sample synthesized by the solution dispersion method, and it has a larger particle size of about 4 μ m. X-ray diffraction indicated the samples prepared by both the methods to be single phase without any impurity phases.

Fig. 3 shows the typical discharge–charge profiles of the LiV_3O_8 cathode synthesized by the solution dispersion method



Fig. 2. SEM micrograph of $\rm LiV_3O_8$ synthesized by the solution dispersion method.



Fig. 3. Discharge–charge voltage profiles of LiV₃O₈ (synthesized by the solution dispersion method) at (a) 25 °C at 0.5 mA cm⁻², (b) 60 °C at 0.5 mA cm⁻² and (c) -30 °C at 0.05 mA cm⁻² between 3.5 and 2.0 V.

at 0.5 mA cm⁻² in the voltage range of 2.0–3.5 V at room temperature and 60 °C. Interestingly, LiV₃O₈ exhibits nearly a flat discharge profile around 2.7 V, offering a reversible capacity of around 230 mAh g⁻¹ at room temperature and 260 mAh g⁻¹ at 60 °C. It is noteworthy to compare this voltage profile with that reported by Liu et al. between 1.5 and 4.0 V that exhibited a continuous variation of discharge voltage from 2.8 to 1.5 V [17]. In addition, Fig. 3 compares the discharge–charge profiles at -30 °C at a current density of 0.05 mA cm⁻². The lower capacity at -30 °C is due to the limited lithium ion conductivity of the electrolyte and the cathode as well as the higher internal resistance of the cell at lower temperatures.

Fig. 4 compares the cyclability data at various temperatures of the LiV_3O_8 cathodes prepared by the two methods. The sample prepared by the solid state method shows a reversible capacity of 220 mAh g⁻¹ (at 0.5 mA cm⁻²) at room temperature while that



Fig. 4. Cyclability data of (a) LiV_3O_8 prepared by the solid state method at 0.5 mA cm⁻² at room temperature, (b) LiV_3O_8 synthesized by the solution dispersion method at 0.5 mA cm⁻² at room temperature, (c) LiV_3O_8 synthesized by the solution dispersion method at 0.5 mA cm⁻² at 60 °C and (d) LiV_3O_8 synthesized by the solution dispersion method at 0.05 mA cm⁻² at -30 °C.



Fig. 5. DSC plots of (a) as-prepared LiV_3O_8 (solution dispersion method), (b) cycled LiV_3O_8 (solution dispersion method) cathode after 20 cycles in the charged state and (c) $LiCoO_2$ cathode in the charged state.

prepared by the solution dispersion method shows a reversible capacity of 230 and 260 mAh g⁻¹ (at 0.5 mA cm⁻²), respectively, at room temperature and 60 °C with excellent cyclability with an average discharge voltage of 2.7 V. Although the discharge voltage is lower, the energy density value of LiV₃O₈ is about 10% more than that found with the LiCoO₂ cathode. Fig. 4 also shows the cyclability of the sample prepared by the solution dispersion method at 0.05 mA cm⁻² at -30 °C. A reversible capacity value of close to 100 mAh g⁻¹ could be realized at -30 °C with excellent capacity retention.

Fig. 5 compares the DSC plots of the as-prepared and cycled (after 20 cycles) LiV₃O₈ cathodes (synthesized by the solution dispersion method) in the charged state. Fig. 5 also gives the DSC plot of LiCoO₂ cathode in the charged state for a comparison. Interestingly, both the as-prepared and the cycled LiV₃O₈ (charged state) cathodes do not show any exothermic peak up to 450 °C, indicating its excellent chemical stability and safety characteristics. In contrast, charged LiCoO2 cathode shows a huge exothermic peak around 200 °C. It is clear that LiV₃O₈ does not suffer from safety concerns unlike the wellknown LiCoO₂ cathode. The superior safety characteristics of the LiV₃O₈ cathode results from the location of the $V^{3+/4+}$:3d or $V^{4+/5+}$:3d band well above the O^{2-} :2p band unlike in the case of cobalt and nickel oxides where the $Co^{3+/4+}$:3d and the Ni^{3+/4+}:3d bands either overlap with or lie close to the top of the O²⁻:2p band [23,24]. Additionally, the ready accessibility of multiple valences (5+, 4+, 3+ and possibly 2+) with vanadium has the possibility of not only offering higher capacity, but also minimizing the problems associated with over-charge or over-discharge.

Although the LiV_3O_8 cathodes offer several advantages, such as higher capacity, excellent cyclability and better safety characteristics, the drawback with them at the present time is that they need lithium-containing anodes in order to be employed as cathodes in lithium-ion cells. Successful development of alternative anodes can make the vanadium oxide cathodes commercially viable for lithium ion cells.

4. Conclusions

LiV₃O₈ cathodes synthesized by simple low temperature methods exhibit a reversible capacity of around 230 and 260 mAh g⁻¹ at, respectively, room temperature and 60 °C at a high current density of 0.5 mA cm⁻² and a relatively narrow voltage range of 2–3.5 V. They also exhibit 100 mAh g⁻¹ at -30 °C at 0.05 mA cm⁻². More importantly, the LiV₃O₈ cathode shows superior safety characteristics compared to the LiCoO₂ cathode as the V^{3+/4+}:3d or V^{4+/5+}:3d band lie well above the O²⁻:2p band. Although LiV₃O₈ may not be suitable for lithium-ion cells with the currently used carbon anodes as it does not contain extractable lithium, the lower discharge voltage range (2–3.5 V) makes it attractive for polymer electrolyte batteries from an electrochemical stability point of view. It may also be attractive for thin film solid state batteries made with metallic lithium anode.

Acknowledgments

Financial support by the NASA Glenn Research Center and Welch Foundation grant F-1254 is gratefully acknowledged.

References

 A. Manthiram, in: G.-A. Nazri, G. Pistoia (Eds.), Science and Technology of Lithium Batteries, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Boston, MA, 2003, p. 3.

- [2] T. Ohzuku, Y. Makimura, Chem. Lett. 642 (2001).
- [3] T. Ohzuku, Y. Makimura, Chem. Lett. 744 (2001).
- [4] Z. Lu, D.D. MacNeil, J.R. Dahn, Electrochem. Solid State Lett. 4 (2001) A191.
- [5] Z. Lu, J.R. Dahn, J. Electrochem. Soc. 149 (2002) A815.
- [6] Y. Shin, A. Manthiram, J. Electrochem. Soc. 151 (2004) A204.
- [7] A. Yamada, S.C. Chung, K. Hinokuma, J. Electrochem. Soc. 148 (2001) A224.
- [8] H. Huang, S.C. Yin, L.F. Nazar, Electrochem. Solid State Lett. 4 (2001) A170.
- [9] P.A. Christian, F.J. Di Salvo, D.W. Murphy, US Patent 4,228,226 (1980).
- [10] J.R. Dahn, T.V. Bouren. U. Vonsacken, US Patent 4,965,150 (1990).
- [11] A.M. Kannan, A. Manthiram, J. Electrochem. Soc. 150 (2003) A990.
- [12] A.M. Kannan, A. Manthiram, Solid State Ionics 159 (2003) 265.
- [13] K.E. Swider-Lyons, C.T. Love, D.R. Rolison, Solid State Ionics 152–153 (2002) 99.
- [14] C. Tsang, A. Manthiram, J. Electrochem. Soc. 144 (1997) 520.
- [15] F. Zhang, S. Passerini, B.B. Owens, W.H. Smyrl, Electrochem. Solid State Lett. 4 (2001) A221.
- [16] N. Kumagai, A. Yu, J. Electrochem. Soc. 144 (1997) 830.
- [17] G.Q. Liu, C.L. Zeng, K. Yang, Electrochim. Acta 47 (2002) 3239.
- [18] S. Panero, M. Pasquali, G. Pistoia, J. Electrochem. Soc. 130 (1983) 1226.
- [19] H.Y. Xu, H. Wang, Z.Q. Song, Y.W. Wang, H. Yan, M. Yoshimura, Electrochim. Acta 49 (2004) 349.
- [20] E.P. Koval'chuk, O.V. Reshetnyak, Ya.S. Kovalyshyn, J. Blazejowsk, J. Power Sources 107 (2002) 61.
- [21] G.Q. Liu, N. Xu, C.L. Zeng, K. Yang, Mater. Res. Bull. 37 (2002) 727.
- [22] N. Kosova, E. Devyatkina, Solid State Ionics 172 (2004) 181.
- [23] R.V. Chebiam, F. Prado, A. Manthiram, Chem. Mater. 13 (2001) 2951.
- [24] R.V. Chebiam, A.M. Kannan, F. Prado, A. Manthiram, Electrochem. Commun. 3 (2001) 624.